## WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

## COMMERCIAL DISPUTES WEEKLY - ISSUE 115

3 MAY 2022 • ARTICLE



### BITE SIZE KNOW HOW FROM THE ENGLISH COURTS

"The notion that a new contract 'springs up', tends to imply that no contract exists at the time when the holder of the bill and the charterer are the same."

Unicredit Bank AG v Euronav NV

### Maritime – Bills of Lading

A bank's claim against shipowners for delivering cargo without production of the bill of lading has failed because the bill of lading did not contain or evidence the contract of carriage. The bill of lading had been issued to the seller of the cargo who was also the charterer of the vessel. The bill of lading was therefore simply a receipt for the goods and the charterparty contained the relevant contract of carriage. When the charterparty was novated and the bill of lading remained with the original charterer, this did not mean that the bill of lading then contained the contract of carriage. When the bill of lading was endorsed to the bank, it did not provide a basis for its claim.

Unicredit Bank AG v Euronav NV [2022] EWHC 957 (Comm), 28 April 2022

### Construction

The Technology and Construction Court has confirmed the need for a party making a fire safety cladding claim to provide proper details of its allegations of negligent design and inspection. In this claim against a firm of architects, Evolve argued that it needed full disclosure of the designs for the building and inspections records before it could provide further information on its claims. The Court took the view that sufficient documentation had already been provided and that the defendant was entitled to know the basis on which Evolve put its case. Evolve was therefore ordered to provide the requested information.

Evolve Housing and Support v Bouygues (UK) Ltd and others [2022] EWHC 906 (TCC), 13 April 2022

## WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

### **Appeal Courts Procedure**

In a dispute as to whether money was a loan or a gift, the Court of Appeal has re-emphasised the approach of appeal courts to appeals against findings of fact. It is not for the appeal court to reach an independent conclusion as a result of its own consideration of the evidence. The appeal court should consider whether the judge was entitled to reach the conclusion that they did; whether the decision was rationally supportable. The appeal was dismissed and criticised for seeking to try the case afresh, providing only selective evidence and seeking to persuade the appeal court to evaluate the reliability of witness evidence when that was the domain of the trial judge who had seen and heard the witnesses.

Volpi v Volpi [2022] EWCA Civ 464, 5 Apr 2022

### Interim injunction – cyber attack

Ward Hadaway had suffered a cyber attack and had documents stolen from its systems. The unidentified defendant was holding those documents to ransom and demanding payment of US\$6 million. Ward Hadaway was allowed a continued injunction preventing the data from being published or accessed and preventing the defendants from accessing its IT systems. A further injunction was granted ordering the defendant to disclose the identity of all journalists and websites involved in publication or storage of the data. The defendant was also ordered to deliver up or delete all data it had. Ward Hadaway LLP v Persons Unknown [2022] QBD, 26 April 2022 (judgment not yet publicly available)

Should you wish to discuss any of these cases in further detail, please speak with a member of our London dispute resolution team below, or your regular contact at Watson Farley & Williams:

Robert Fidoe	Ryland Ash
Charles Buss	Nikki Chu
Dev Desai	Sarah Ellington
Andrew Hutcheon	Alexis Martinez
Theresa Mohammed	Tim Murray
Mike Phillips	Rebecca Williams

# WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

# **KEY CONTACTS**



#### JOANNE CHAMPKINS KNOWLEDGE COUNSEL • LONDON

T: +44 203 036 9859

jchampkins@wfw.com



**REBECCA WILLIAMS** PARTNER • LONDON

#### T: +44 203 036 9805

### <u>rwilliams@wfw.com</u>



ANDREW WARD CONSULTANT • LONDON

T: +44 20 7863 8950

### <u>award@wfw.com</u>

#### DISCLAIMER

Watson Farley & Williams is a sector specialist international law firm with a focus on the energy, infrastructure and transport sectors. With offices in Athens, Bangkok, Dubai, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, Munich, New York, Paris, Rome, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney and Tokyo our 700+ lawyers work as integrated teams to provide practical, commercially focussed advice to our clients around the world.

All references to 'Watson Farley & Williams', 'WFW' and 'the firm' in this document mean Watson Farley & Williams LLP and/or its affiliated entities. Any reference to a 'partner' means a member of Watson Farley & Williams LLP, or a member, partner, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualification in WFW Affiliated Entities. A list of members of Watson Farley & Williams LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection on request.

Watson Farley & Williams LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC312252. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and its members are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers.

The information provided in this publication (the "Information") is for general and illustrative purposes only and it is not intended to provide advice whether that advice is financial, legal, accounting, tax or any other type of advice, and should not be relied upon in that regard. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the Information provided is accurate at the time of publication, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, validity or currency of the Information and WFW assume no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. To the maximum extent permitted by law, WFW shall not be liable for indirect or consequential loss or damage, including without limitation any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any use of this publication or the Information.

This publication constitutes attorney advertising.