

## COMMERCIAL DISPUTES WEEKLY – ISSUE 48

19 OCTOBER 2020 • ARTICLE



### BITE SIZE KNOW HOW FROM THE ENGLISH COURTS

We appreciate that our clients, partners and friends are currently facing unprecedented challenges as a result of the spread of the COVID-19 virus. Click [here](#) for a message from our Managing Partners, and [here](#) for all of our latest updates and articles on the subject. If you have any questions or require support, please do not hesitate to speak to your usual contact at WFW.

**"If a compelling case for expedition is made out, then the Commercial Court will find a way of accommodating the trial."**

**Lopesan Touristik SA v  
Apollo European  
Principal Finance Fund  
III (Dollar A) LP & Ors**

#### Arbitration

In a further case applying the principles of reflective loss recently confirmed in *Sevilleja v Marex Financial Ltd* (2020), the Commercial Court has also rejected arguments that claims brought under an alleged implied retainer with a law firm should be stayed pursuant to section 9 Arbitration Act 1996. The duties allegedly owed to the claimant were similar to those owed to a party who had a formal retainer with the law firm which was subject to an arbitration agreement, but that did not mean the claimant was claiming “under or through” the other party. *Naibu Global International Company Plc & Anr v Daniel Stewart & Company Plc & Anr*

#### Costs

As the CJC Working Group on the guideline hourly rates prepares to make recommendations at the end of the year on updating rates, the Senior Courts Costs Office has emphasised the limited utility of the current rates, particularly in relation to international commercial cases where it is appropriate to appoint City solicitors. *Shulman v Kolomoisky & Anr*

#### Contract

In a topical decision which demonstrates the complex issues that can arise when relying on material adverse effect (MAE) clauses, the Commercial Court has considered the meaning of a MAE clause in a share purchase agreement, holding that in deciding whether the Covid-19 pandemic had a relevant “effect” on the companies being sold, it was necessary to compare them with other participants in the broader payments industry, and not simply those in the “travel payments industry”. *Travelport Limited & Ors v WEX Inc*

## **Expedition**

The Commercial Court has provided a useful reminder of the principles applicable to determining whether to order the expedition of a trial, holding that in circumstances where the urgency of the claim was qualified, it would not be appropriate to order expedition where there was a very real risk that trial preparations could not be fairly undertaken in time, the claimant had delayed in seeking a trial, and it was possible that expedition would mean another case would have to be stood out of the list.

Lopesan Touristik SA v Apollo European Principal Finance Fund III (Dollar A) LP & Ors

## **Unlawful means conspiracy and breach of confidence**

The Court of Appeal has rejected suggestions that, in a case of unlawful means conspiracy it will be necessary for the defendant and at least one conspirator to know that the means in question is unlawful, holding that even in cases where the unlawful means involves the infringement of a private right, knowledge of that unlawfulness is not required. The Court also held that, where a defendant has made unauthorised use of confidential information received from a third party, reasonably relying on assurances and warranties from the third party that it was entitled to provide the information, the defendant will not be in breach of an equitable duty of confidence unless there are clear countervailing indications sufficient to override the contractual assurances received.

The Racing Partnership Limited & Ors v Sports Information Services Limited

Should you wish to discuss any of these cases in further detail, please speak with a member of our London dispute resolution team below, or your regular contact at Watson Farley & Williams:

- Andrew Ward
- Rebecca Williams
- Charles Buss
- Dev Desai
- Andrew Hutcheon
- Robert Fidoe
- Thomas Ross
- Marcus Dodds

## KEY CONTACTS

### ANDREW WARD

PARTNER • LONDON

T: +44 20 7863 8950

[award@wfw.com](mailto:award@wfw.com)



### REBECCA WILLIAMS

PARTNER • LONDON

T: +44 203 036 9805

[rwilliams@wfw.com](mailto:rwilliams@wfw.com)

### DISCLAIMER

Watson Farley & Williams is a sector specialist international law firm with a focus on the energy, infrastructure and transport sectors. With offices in Athens, Bangkok, Dubai, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, Munich, New York, Paris, Rome, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney and Tokyo our 700+ lawyers work as integrated teams to provide practical, commercially focussed advice to our clients around the world.

All references to 'Watson Farley & Williams', 'WFW' and 'the firm' in this document mean Watson Farley & Williams LLP and/or its affiliated entities. Any reference to a 'partner' means a member of Watson Farley & Williams LLP, or a member, partner, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualification in WFW Affiliated Entities. A list of members of Watson Farley & Williams LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection on request.

Watson Farley & Williams LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC312252. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and its members are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers.

The information provided in this publication (the "Information") is for general and illustrative purposes only and it is not intended to provide advice whether that advice is financial, legal, accounting, tax or any other type of advice, and should not be relied upon in that regard. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the Information provided is accurate at the time of publication, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, validity or currency of the Information and WFW assume no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. To the maximum extent permitted by law, WFW shall not be liable for indirect or consequential loss or damage, including without limitation any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any use of this publication or the Information.

This publication constitutes attorney advertising.