

MARITIME DISPUTES NEWSLETTER – MARCH 2020: ARBITRATION AWARDS

27 MARCH 2020 • ARTICLE



SCROLL DOWN FOR A SELECTION OF RECENT DECISIONS BY LMAA TRIBUNALS, PUBLISHED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE LLOYD'S MARITIME LAW NEWSLETTER:

Underperformance claims – treatment of positive currents and whether periods of good weather must consist solely of good weather (London Arbitration 27/19)

An LMAA tribunal has held that the time charterers were not entitled to deduct sums for underperformance on the basis that their weather bureau report had wrongly allowed the benefit of favourable currents to reduce good weather performance speed, it used insufficient samples to assess the vessel's performance on the voyage as a whole and it also wrongly treated a period as good weather for subsequent extrapolation purposes when part of that alleged good weather actually comprised heavy weather.

[Click here for the FULL ARTICLE](#)

Underperformance claims – what does “no swell” in good weather definition mean? (London Arbitration 26/19)

In contrast to London Arbitration 24/19 (see below), an LMAA tribunal has held that in order to give meaning and effect to the expression “no swell” in the charterparty's good weather definition, in circumstances where swell is always present in the oceans and where the “no swell” criterion cannot have been intended to exclude all ocean voyages from a performance assessment, only periods of adverse swell should be excluded. The tribunal went on to hold that, notwithstanding the findings of the charterers' weather bureau, whose methodology was inconsistent with the parties' agreement, the vessel had not underperformed.

[Click here for the FULL ARTICLE](#)

Compliance with Pacific Coast Marine Safety Code was safety requirement (London Arbitration 25/19)

An LMAA tribunal has confirmed that compliance with the Pacific Coast Marine Safety Code was, at the very least, a safety requirement under the terms of an amended NYPE 1946 form time charter. The vessel was therefore off-hire in the period stevedores refused to work until appropriate repairs to cranes were carried out.

Underperformance claims – “no swell” in good weather definition meant no identifiable ocean swell (London Arbitration 24/19)

Where a charterparty defined good weather as including “no swell”, an LMAA tribunal has found that any identifiable ocean swell would mean the period in question was not good weather, not least because of the potential difficulty of identifying the effect of any swell and whether it was adverse. However, charterers had failed to establish, on a balance of probabilities, how much time was lost due to adverse weather. Their performance claim failed.

[Click here for the FULL ARTICLE](#)

Failure to advance positive case regarding fouling of anchor led to finding vessel was not off hire (London Arbitration 23/19)

An LMAA tribunal has held that charterers’ failure to advance a positive case that an anchor had not been fouled meant that, even though the owners evidence was not satisfactory, the anchor had indeed been fouled and that was the cause of berthing delays. Accordingly owners were entitled to unpaid hire.

Lloyd's Maritime Law Newsletter

Business intelligence | informa



[READ ABOUT RECENT MARITIME DECISIONS.](#)

[READ ABOUT OTHER NOTABLE DECISIONS.](#)

[GO BACK TO THE MARITIME DISPUTES NEWSLETTER HOMEPAGE.](#)

KEY CONTACTS

ANDREW WARD

PARTNER • LONDON

T: +44 20 7863 8950

award@wfw.com

DISCLAIMER

Watson Farley & Williams is a sector specialist international law firm with a focus on the energy, infrastructure and transport sectors. With offices in Athens, Bangkok, Dubai, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, Munich, New York, Paris, Rome, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney and Tokyo our 700+ lawyers work as integrated teams to provide practical, commercially focussed advice to our clients around the world.

All references to ‘Watson Farley & Williams’, ‘WFW’ and ‘the firm’ in this document mean Watson Farley & Williams LLP and/or its affiliated entities. Any reference to a ‘partner’ means a member of Watson Farley & Williams LLP, or a member, partner, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualification in WFW Affiliated Entities. A list of members of Watson Farley & Williams LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection on request.

WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

Watson Farley & Williams LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC312252. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and its members are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers.

The information provided in this publication (the “Information”) is for general and illustrative purposes only and it is not intended to provide advice whether that advice is financial, legal, accounting, tax or any other type of advice, and should not be relied upon in that regard. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the Information provided is accurate at the time of publication, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, validity or currency of the Information and WFW assume no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. To the maximum extent permitted by law, WFW shall not be liable for indirect or consequential loss or damage, including without limitation any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any use of this publication or the Information.

This publication constitutes attorney advertising.