

GERMAN ANTI-TREATY/DIRECTIVE SHOPPING RULE BREACHES EU LAW

17 JULY 2018 • ARTICLE



The European Court of Justice (“ECJ”) has ruled that the German Domestic Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule regarding German withholding tax is in breach of EU law.

GERMAN ANTI-TREATY/DIRECTIVE-SHOPPING RULE

There are two methods of obtaining a reduction in, or an exemption from, German withholding tax on certain cross-border income items (e.g. dividend distributions or royalties); using German domestic law (which takes into account EU directives) or relying on the relevant double tax treaty. The party claiming the reduction or exemption must make a request to the German tax authorities who then decide whether to approve it. If the request is approved, the reduction or exemption is made either by way of a refund or the issuance of an “exemption certificate”. However, the German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule (sec. 50d par. 3 German Income Tax Act) may impose restrictions on any such reduction or exemption.

Under the current German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule (sec. 50d par. 3 German Income Tax Act 2012) (the “2012 Rule”), a foreign company cannot claim a refund on German withholding tax or apply for an exemption certificate to the extent that:

- (i) the foreign company has shareholders who would not be entitled to the refund or exemption if they earned the relevant income directly;
- (ii) the foreign company does not generate gross income from its own business activities; and
- (iii) (a) there were no economic or other valid reasons for the involvement of the foreign company regarding such income; or
 - (b) the foreign company does not participate in general commerce by means of an appropriate business organisation.

Certain characteristics of a group (e.g. organisational and economic) shall not be regarded for the classification of the aforementioned preconditions in relation to the foreign company.

Under the old rule, which applied from 2007 to 2012 (the “2007 Rule”), a foreign company could not claim a refund on German withholding tax or apply for an exemption certificate to the extent that:

- (i) it had shareholders who were not entitled to the refund or exemption if they earned the relevant income directly; and

- (ii) (a) there were no economic or other valid reasons for the involvement of the foreign company regarding such income;
- (b) the foreign company did not derive more than 10% of its overall gross earnings for the respective fiscal year from its own business activities; or
- (c) the foreign company did not participate in general commerce by means of an appropriate business organisation.

In other words, a refund/exemption would not have been excluded under the 2007 Rule to the extent that the shareholders of the foreign company were entitled to a refund/exemption if they earned the relevant income directly, or all of conditions (ii) (a)-(c) were met. Any organisational, economic or similar characteristics of a group shall not be regarded for the classification of the aforementioned preconditions in relation to the foreign company.

Please note that, in general, the German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule is not applicable to foreign companies with publicly listed ordinary shares regularly traded at an accepted stock market or foreign investment funds subject to the German Investment Tax Act.

ECJ DECISIONS

In two ECJ decisions (C-504/16 and C-613/16), both dated 20 December 2017, it was held that the 2007 Rule (sec. 50d par. 3 German Income Tax Act 2007) was in breach of EU Law. In a recent ECJ decision (C-440/17) dated 14 June 2018, the 2012 Rule was also found to be a breach of EU Law. According to the ECJ, this rule violates the EU-Parent-Subsidiary Directive as well as the right to freedom of establishment stipulated in European Law.

The EU-Parent-Subsidiary Directive considers an exception from the general exemption from withholding tax on dividend distributions within the EU to avoid tax evasion and tax abuse. The ECJ stated that this exception is met by a domestic rule implementing the EU-Parent-Subsidiary Directive of an EU State if the rule is limited to wholly artificial arrangements, which do not reflect economic reality, and with the purpose of which is to obtain an undue tax advantage. A general rule which excludes certain shareholders from tax benefits automatically without any individual investigations by the tax authorities goes beyond the exception considered in the EU- Parent-Subsidiary Directive. In the case of the 2007 Rule and the 2012 Rule, the ECJ came to the conclusion that such criteria are not met.

Furthermore, according to the ECJ and the respective cases with majority shareholders, the freedom of establishment in European Law is violated since the German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule is only applicable to non-residents and not to resident shareholders. In respect of resident shareholders, the criteria to gain tax benefits in relation to German withholding tax are not as strict as for non- residents.

REACTION OF THE GERMAN TAX AUTHORITIES

The German Federal Ministry of Finance published guidelines on 4 April 2018 accepting the first two decisions of the ECJ and also considering the (prospective) outcome of the latest court decision published in June 2018. According to these guidelines, the German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule has been abolished for dividend distributions under the EU-Parent-Subsidiary Directive for the years 2007- 2011. The 2012 Rule shall generally still apply but the strict criteria for dividend distributions shall be relaxed to meet the provisions of the EU-Parent-Subsidiary Directive as stated by the ECJ.

This means that (i) any organisational, economic or similar characteristics of a group shall, despite the wording of the rule, be regarded for the classification of the preconditions in relation to the foreign EU company of this rule; (ii) income from mere asset management activities are no longer detrimental per se in terms of tax benefits; and (iii) only structures in which the foreign company was involved solely to obtain a tax benefit shall be caught by the 2012 Rule. Therefore, the foreign company needs adequate business substance to engage in its trade or business and it must participate in general commerce.

However, the German Anti-Treaty/Directive-Shopping Rule remains unaffected in other cases, e.g. taxation limitations for dividends under a tax treaty or for royalties.

ACTIONS

It is advisable to keep up to date on this case law dealing with the possibility of applying for a refund of, or exemption from, German withholding tax. If such a claim were rejected, it might be sensible to challenge said decision. Where any refunds or exemption certificates have not yet been claimed, such claims should be reviewed in light of the amended legislation. In both cases procedural law should also be considered.

It should be noted that the court decisions referred to above and the guidance of the German Ministry of Finance consider only dividend distributions to shareholders resident in the EU but do not consider non-EU-residents or royalty payments. It is hoped that further ECJ decisions or guidelines from the tax authorities may provide clarification in such cases. For now, it is worth considering taking action against a rejection by the tax authorities in such cases.

KEY CONTACTS



VERENA WEIDER
MANAGING ASSOCIATE
• GERMANY

T: +49 40 800 084 313

vweider@wfw.com

Disclaimer

WATSON FARLEY & WILLIAMS

Watson Farley & Williams is a sector specialist international law firm with a focus on the energy, infrastructure and transport sectors. With offices in Athens, Bangkok, Dubai, Dusseldorf, Frankfurt, Hamburg, Hanoi, Hong Kong, London, Madrid, Milan, Munich, New York, Paris, Rome, Seoul, Singapore, Sydney and Tokyo our 700+ lawyers work as integrated teams to provide practical, commercially focussed advice to our clients around the world.

All references to 'Watson Farley & Williams', 'WFW' and 'the firm' in this document mean Watson Farley & Williams LLP and/or its affiliated entities. Any reference to a 'partner' means a member of Watson Farley & Williams LLP, or a member, partner, employee or consultant with equivalent standing and qualification in WFW Affiliated Entities. A list of members of Watson Farley & Williams LLP and their professional qualifications is open to inspection on request.

Watson Farley & Williams LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC312252. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority and its members are solicitors or registered foreign lawyers.

The information provided in this publication (the "Information") is for general and illustrative purposes only and it is not intended to provide advice whether that advice is financial, legal, accounting, tax or any other type of advice, and should not be relied upon in that regard. While every reasonable effort is made to ensure that the Information provided is accurate at the time of publication, no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to the accuracy, timeliness, completeness, validity or currency of the Information and WFW assume no responsibility to you or any third party for the consequences of any errors or omissions. To the maximum extent permitted by law, WFW shall not be liable for indirect or consequential loss or damage, including without limitation any loss or damage whatsoever arising from any use of this publication or the Information.

This publication constitutes attorney advertising.